## A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (<a href="mailto:secretariat@inahta.org">secretariat@inahta.org</a>).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed. An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at <a href="https://www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist">www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist</a>.

Reference of report	Cantero Muñoz P, Maceira Rozas MC. Entrecruzamiento del colágeno corneal asistido con iontoforesis: CXL-I [Iontophoresis assisted corneal cross-lin-king (CXL-I)]. Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS). Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico (avalia-t) 2016. Report No.: CT2016/03. Available from: http://avalia-t.sergas.es/DXerais/688/CT201603Crosslinking-sum.pdf
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Paula Cantero Muñoz
Email	Avalia-t@sergas.es

Item							Yes	Partly	No	
								_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
Preliminary  1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							X			
2. Authors identified?							X			
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							X			
Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							X			
5. Short summary in non-technical language?								Х		
Why?						L		1		
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							Χ			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							Χ			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							Χ			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							Χ			
How?									•	
	urces of	information	on and literature s	search	strategies p	rovide	ed?			
Search		oases	Year	Language					her kind of	
strategy			range	restriction			data informat			
YES	\ \	ES	Until may 2016		YES		YES	resource YES YES		
Complete refere		_ <u>5                                    </u>	List of		Inclusion				Exclusion	
			uded studies		criteria			criteri		
YES			YES	YES			YES			
11. Information of	on basis f	or the as	sessment and inte	erpreta	ation of selec	cted da	ata and	information	?	
	Method of data		Critical appraisal		Method of data		Results of the			
extraction described?		method (for quality assessment of the			synthesis described?		assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form			
		literature) described?					of evidence tables?			
YES		YES YES		YES		YES				
Context?										
12. Information of	on contex	t (may or	may not apply to ea	ach HT.	A).					
(Medico-) legal		conomic		Ethical		ıl		Other perspectives		
implications considered?		nalysis	implications considered?		implications considered?		(stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?			
NO		ovided? ARTIAL	NO NO		NO		Cons	NO		
What then?							Yes	Partly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							X			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							X			
15. Suggestions for further action?									Х	
- Caggoodono for fattion action.						1		1		